```
DISTRIPUTION:
     PERM
                                                                       Press Item for the DCI
     Extr
                                                                                                                                                                                   Item. 48. 18
     DMHE
     DD!
     DDP(2)
     DOCL
     ONE
     OSB
     OSI(2)
     C/OPCN
    PDS
     INDICO
    0500
    W
   SAVA
    OSD
        f, g<sup>h</sup>t
                      1218
          t_{\rm S}
                                  lbylryyr
          With
                      URGENT
          mea
                     Espionego
                     LOUDON AP - William Jomes Oven Laborite member of Parliament, was arroused Thursday on charges of emplonege and will be arraigned
                     Friday, police reported.

A police ennouncement did not indicate for what country or crokey onch was accused of anyling. It said only that he was being charged under the nection of the Official Secrets Act dealing with the passing of information projudicial to the security of the state.
                    Coen has been a member of parliament for the Morpeth district in northeast England since 1954.

There was no violation of parlementary privilege in Owens' except. Mombers are protected from libel suits arising from their statements in Parliament but they may be arrested on eximinal charges like any other citizen.

Owen, a coal miner's son, was born Feb. 18, 1901. He was educated at the London Labor College. He is married, with one
                     non and a daughter.
                    Ho has long been a leader of the British cooperative movement and has been president of the South Suburban Cooperative Society since 1950. Ho was a member of the Matienal Coal Board, which runs Britain's state-exact coal industry, from 1948 to 1950.
                    Owen nover held any government office or national rest within the Labor party organization. In the House of Comment he was a back wanter.
                       IC 151003 Jan. 15
```

Comment. First word. CSDO informed.

## Around the World British Parliamentarian Charged With Espionage

LONDON—William James Owen a Laborite member of the British Parliament for 15 years and a leader of the Cooperative Movement, was arrested yesterday on charges of spying for a foreign power.

The Scotland Yard announcement did not identify the foreign power, but other sources said it was not the Soviet Union.

Owen, 68, a former coal miner, was not identified with leftist groups in Parliament. He never held any government office or national post in the Labor Party. He has been a parliamentary backbencher representing the northeast English constituency of Morpeth since 1954.

Scotland Yard said Owen was charged under the section of the Official Secrets Act dealing with the passing of information prejudicial to the security of the state. Parliamentarians are subject to criminal prosecution in Britain.



## Britain's Pretrial Publicity Ban **Obscures Facts in Spy Case**

LONDON-The much heralded British achievement. of protecting a defendant in a eriminal ease from publicity that might prejudice his future trial turns out to be exacting a not inconsiderable price: Public ignorance, extended over a lengthy pcriod, about public affairs.

The question of how to resolve the balance so important in a democratic society: between the public's need of information and the necd of the accused for an unbiased' jury continues to plague bar and press and citizenry in general in the United States:

The British resolved it, or at least decided it, plumping whole hog for the defendant.

The last loophole in guarantceing the accused a totally uncontaminated jury; was closed in 1967 by a law barring publication of committal (arraignment) hearings in which the government's accusations are laid out, in more or less detail.

Its effect, coupled with that of earlier legislation commanding press silence on almost everything but the fact of the arrest itself, means that in most cases an curtain is impenetrable drawn over any real knowledge until the trial itself.

The British police usually do not say even that a suspect is being sought or has been arrested, and resort instead to such euphemisms as "a man is helping police in their enquiries."

THE ARREST on Jan. 13 of William Owen, a Labor member of Parliament, and his jailing on charges of disclosing secret information to an enemy is a case in point on the relative gain and loss from the strict legislation.

Owen's rights to a supersanitary trial are being protected to the utmost.

The announced charge is legations. confined to a citation in a So. also of violating on various dates judge, out of the attending between Aug. 26, 1961, and reporters' sight, the facts

and even if they did, the press could not publish it on penalty of contempt of raises in the mind of an

that publication of what ing to forgo important infor-transpired at his initial mation about the state of court appearances, where he the country's security as the was refused bail and re-British seem to be. manded to jali, would have But even the British may been to his benefit, he could be having second thoughts. have invoked another provides about the price in ignorance sion of the law, designed for the defendant's protection, near-perfect guarantees they and waived the injunction of nonpublication. In event, he did not.

The result is to leave the British public without information on what may or may not be a grave security, breach committed over an eight-year period.

By a curious paradox, Americans know slightly more of the elreumstances than the British, because certain information and deprinted, and have been, across the Atlantic in journais that are unlikely to be scen by a prospective juror in London.

THE BRITISH will not know the facts, or even the detailed ailegations, in the Owen case for weeks or permonths-possibly haps never.

Owen will appear again in court next Tuesday. Once again he will probably not; waive the rule of nonpubitcation of what transpires. Sometime thereafter, possibly not until some months have passed, will his case come to trial. Then, and then only, will the British public learn what it is ail about.

Yet possibly not even then. For, if at some point, before trial the government drops the charges, or determines to prosceute only some minor or ancillary ones, the public will still remain in the dark about the original and more scrious al-

So, also, if Owen decides passage of the National Se- to plead guilty and the accucrets Act that he is accused sations against him are pre-

THE QUESTION all this American reporter here is Had Owen feit, however, would, or should, be as will-

> near-perfect guarantees they have adopted to prevent prejudicial publicity.

In a recent editoriai anxlously discussing the implications of offical silence in the Owen case, the London Times seemed to be entertaining such doubts. wrote:

"These accusations concern . . . the security of the eountry, the integrity of Parliament and perhaps our ductions forbidden to be relations with an unnamed published in Britain can be power. The public must printed, and have been, have proper information about such matters, and in the last resort it is the duty of government to satisfy public opinion."

December, 1969. Police are can continue to remain up. disclosing nothing more known. Release 2003/04/22: CIA-RDP72-00337R000200190069-2